Constraint Modelling with LLMs Using In-Context Learning

Kostis Michailidis, Dimos Tsouros, Tias Guns









Constraint Modelling: An Example

I am an actor with many offers.

Requirement 1: Only one movie per day.

Requirement 2: Maximize income.

Which movies to choose?

	Movie Title	Start Day	End Day
0	Tarjan of the Jungle	4	13
1	The Four Volume Problem	17	27
2	The President's Algorist	1	10
3	Steiner's Tree	12	18
4	Process Terminated	23	30
5	Halting State	9	16
6	Programming Challenges	19	25
7	Discrete Mathematics	2	7
8	Calculated Bets	26	31



Constraint Modelling: An Example

I am an actor with many offers.

Requirement 1: Only one movie per day.

Requirement 2: Maximize income.

Which movies to choose?

	Movie Title	Start Day	End Day
0	Tarjan of the Jungle	4	13
1	The Four Volume Problem	17	27
2	The President's Algorist	1	10
3	Steiner's Tree	12	18
4	Process Terminated	23	30
5	Halting State	9	16
6	Programming Challenges	19	25
7	Discrete Mathematics	2	7
8	Calculated Bets	26	31



CP is powerful, <u>but</u> modelling requires expertise in:



Domain Knowledge



Mathematical Skills



Solver Formalisms

Making CP More Accessible

Modelling Tools



Constraint Acquisition



Users provide or classify (non-)solutions



Textual descriptions of combinatorial problems



Can Large Language Models assist in Constraint Modelling?

Image created by OpenAI's ChatGPT.

Methodology



Background: Large Language Models

LLM(*Prompt*) = *Response*



https://chatgpt.com/share/431e9c84-1fe4-412e-aa91-1f9f00063e9d

How to choose C?

 $\left\{\left(Q_{j}, R_{j}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$

Baseline: Static/Random

Retrieval-Augmented ICL



1. LLMs as solvers



1. LLMs as solvers



Solution generated with Chain-of-Thought

$a = LLM(C \oplus Q)$

j	Q _j (Problem Desc)	R _j (CoT solution)
	A popular actor	Let's think step by step
1	Which movies to choose?	Thus, the final solution is: $\{movies_0: 0, movies_1: 0, \ldots\}$
• • •		
n	{(0	$(\mathbf{R}_{n})^{n}$
		j^{j} $(j^{j})_{j=1}$

2. LLMs as modellers



2. LLMs as modellers



Generation of CP models:

$$P_{CP} = LLM(C \oplus Q)$$

$$a = M(P_{CP})$$

j	Q _j (Problem Desc)	R _j (CP Model)		
1	A popular actor Which movies to choose?	<pre>from cpmpy import * # Data movies = [{"title": "Tarjan of the Jungle", "interval": [4, 13]},] # Constraints # Solve</pre>		
		model.solve()		
•••	$(())^n$			
n	$\cdots \left\{ \left(Q_{j}, R_{j} \right) \right\}_{j=1}$			

3. Blueprint Model



3. Blueprint Model



Decompose Model Generation:

 $P_{BM} = LLM(C \oplus Q)$

j	Q _j (Problem Desc)	R _j (Blueprint Model)
		# Decision Variables: Define binary variables to represent whether the movie is selected (1) or not (0). The variable 'selected_movies' is an array of size equal to the number of movies (9 in this case).
	A popular actor	# Domains: Each variable in 'selected_movies' has a domain of [0, 1] where 1 represents the movie is selected and 0 represents the movie is not selected.
1	Which movies to choose?	# Constraints: Non-overlapping: Ensure that the intervals of selected movies do not overlap. This can be represented by iterating over the movies and for each pair of selected movies (i, j), add a constraint that the intervals of the movies do not overlap.
		# Objective: Maximize the number of selected movies, which is equivalent to maximizing the sum of the 'selected_movies' array.
	$\{(Q_j, R_j)\}_{j=1}$	•••
		21

3. Blueprint Model



R_i (CP Model) Q_i (Problem Desc \oplus **Decompose Model Blueprint Model)** Generation: from cpmpy import * # Data A popular actor... movies = [{"title": "Tarjan of the $P_{BM} = LLM(C \oplus Q)$ Which movies to choose? Jungle", "interval": [4, 13]}, ...] # Constraints <Blueprint Model> # Solve $P_{CP} = LLM(C \oplus Q \oplus P_{BM})$ model.solve() $\left\{\left(Q_{j}, R_{j}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ $a = M(P_{CP})$

4. Named Entity Recognition



4. Named Entity Recognition



j	Q _j (Problem Desc	:)		R _j (CP Mode	el)
	A popular actor Which movies to choose?		from cpmpy import * # Data		
1	CONST (36-41): only one OBJ_DIR (44-49): maximize 	$((0, p))^n$	movies = [{"title": "Tarjan of t # Constraints # Solve	n of the Jungle", "in	terval": [4, 13]},]
	<blueprint model=""></blueprint>		model.solve()		
•••		$\{(Q_j, R_j)\}_{j=1}$		•••	

Evaluation Metrics

Declaration Accuracy

Model Accuracy

Solution Accuracy



#Errors: CP Model could not be run

Experiments: Datasets

- NL4Opt¹: Linear Optimisation Problems
 LGPs²: Logic Grid Puzzles
- **Mixed**: 18 diverse problems drawn from a CP Modelling course.

¹ Rindranirina Ramamonjison, Timothy Yu, Raymond Li, Haley Li, Giuseppe Carenini, Bissan Ghaddar, Shiqi He, Mahdi Mostajabdaveh, Amin Banitalebi-Dehkordi, Zirui Zhou, et al. NI4opt competition: Formulating optimization problems based on their natural language descriptions.

² Arindam Mitra and Chitta Baral. Learning to automatically solve logic grid puzzles.

LLMs as CP solvers? No. LLMs as CP modellers? Promising.

Dataset	Method	Accuracy (%)
	CoT Solving	11.46
NL4Opt	CP Modelling	81.31
	CoT Solving	9.36
LGFS	CP Modelling	57.00
Mixed	CoT Solving	16.67
riixed	CP Modelling	50.00

Static 4-shot ICL, with gpt-3.5-turbo-0125

Configuration: gpt-3.5-turbo-0125, 4-shot static ICL.

Intermediate Representations

Dataset	Method	#Err	Sol. (%)	Decl. (%)	Model (%)
	СР	7	81.31	87.81	79.24
NL4Opt	+ BM	8	84.43	89.93	82.01
	+ NER	8	85.47	88.60	80.62
	СР	11	57.00	80.45	55.00
LGPs	+ BM	18	58.00	70.69	58.00
	+ NER	20	54.00	67.77	50.00



For more <u>complex</u> problems: BM: Larger Context NER: Variable Misclassification

Dataset	et #Shots CP		+ BM
	2	33.33%	16.67%
Mixed	4	50.00%	50.00%
	8	50.00%	44.44%
	12	55.56%	50.00%

Configuration: gpt-3.5-turbo-0125, static ICL, Solution Accuracy.



Retrieval-Augmented ICL? Yes.

✓ Relevance
✓ Diversity
✓ Recency

Retrieval	LGPs Sol. (%)	LGPs Decl. (%)	NL4Opt Sol. (%)	NL4Opt Decl. (%)
Static	57.00	80.45	81.31	87.81
Random	66.00	76.75	77.16	85.60
Similarity	68.00	85.34	85.12	87.72
R-Similarity	72.00	89.83	83.39	88.16
Diversity	66.00	83.09	84.08	87.99
R-Diversity	74.00	87.98	83.74	87.10
Random w/ Last-Similar	76.00	89.96	83.74	88.34

CP modelling. Config: gpt-3.5-turbo-0125, R-MMR λ = 0.5.

The more examples the better? Not exactly.



Adding in-context examples improves **up to a threshold.**

What about diverse problems?

- Few examples to retrieve from.
- More complex problems.



Evaluation Challenge:



Choice of Decision Variables is free => Model Accuracy.

 $\dot{\Sigma}_{\pm}$ Prompt LLMs to generate code that prints the solution in specific format => Solution Accuracy.



Summary

- 1. An LLM-based NL2CP framework
- 2. Intermediate Problem Representation
- 3. Evaluation of RAG strategies
- 4. Model Comparison Metrics
- 5. Initiated & Augmented Datasets

Key Conclusions

LLMs as CP solvers? No LLMs as CP modellers? **Promising**

- Retrieval-Augmented In-Context Learning **boosts** performance
 - Modelling more complex problems
 remains challenging
 - **Missing** Evaluation Datasets:
 - From natural language to CP models

Future Work

Main:

- High-Quality NL-CP Evaluation Dataset.
- Integrate coding techniques.
- Interactive modelling system.

Other:

- Beyond ICL: Supervised Fine-Tuning
- Large external data.

Demo

https://chatopt.cs.kuleuven.be

Credits: Thomas Sergeys



Thank you!



https://chatopt.cs.kuleuven.be